UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,983	09/22/2003	Shahid R. Chaudry	555255-012-577	1961
54120 RESEARCH IN	7590 01/05/200 V MOTION	EXAMINER		
ATTN: GLEND	DA WOLFE	NGUYEN, TUAN HOANG		
BUILDING 6, BRAZOS EAST, SUITE 100 5000 RIVERSIDE DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVING, TX 75	5039	2618		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/05/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

portfolioprosecution@rim.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/667,983	CHAUDRY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	TUAN H. NGUYEN	2618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 December 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appear for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expiresmonths.	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth i er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exteunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sh set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	n which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 nsion and the corresponding amount of ortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia	ance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but	ut prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cons	•	E below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a co	arresponding number of finally reig	octed claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	responding number of finally reje	cted ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inplication attrotte (102 021).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-33</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>34-43</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov- showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (F13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Tuan H. Nguyen/	/Quochien B Vuong/		
Examiner	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2618	
Art Unit 2618	,,		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument are not persuasive. Regarding applicant's argument filed on 12/09/2008 that Misra et al. (U.S PUB. 2004/0022209 hereinafter, "Misra") in view of Bremer et al. (U.S PAT. 7,272,215 hereinafter "Bremer") references cited by Examiner does not teach or suggest "the action in the wireless network as opposed to the mobile station, the described action (i.e. the rejection of a voice call attempt) is actually the opposite of that which is claimed. Further, in paragraph [0009] of Mishra, it is taught that a Mobile Switching Center (MSC) sets up a packet data session with a mobile station for data services. However, what is claimed is the receipt of a voice call request for initiating a voice call - not a data service for the mobile device." (applicant's argument page 11). The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant arguments. After careful reviewed the claims limitations, the Examiner can not find claims limitations "the rejection of a voice call attempt" as Applicant's argues on page 11. The Applicant also argues that the Bremer reference does not teach or suggest "the tearing down of a radio traffic channel by any mobile device." (applicant's argument page 12). The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant arguments. The Applicant should refer to Bremer reference col. 13 lines 38-47 whereas the Examiner interprets the limitation "the tearing down of a radio traffic channel by any mobile device.". Further, in response to applicant's remarks on page 13 that "there is no adequate reason why one ordinarily skilled in the art would have modified the teachings of Mishra with the teachings of Bremer as the Examiner fashions". The examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the references cited by the Examiner teaching an end user may decide to halt (read on "torn down") or significantly reduce digital subscriber line data communications to answer an incoming call from a phone number associated with a family member. However, an incoming phone call with an unknown calling line ID, which might be associated with a telemarketer, may not cause the end user to halt or diminish digital subscriber line data communications by answering the incoming call (col. 13 lines 40-47). Therefore, the rejection of claims 1-33 are maintain.